

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,522	03/01/2002	Ulrich Haueter	14347	4086	
25763	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			NASSER, R	NASSER, ROBERT L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LIS, MN 55402-1498	3736			
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/087,522	HAUETER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert L. Nasser	3736				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 /	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.	ction is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 8-29 is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) 8-29 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4.□ (4.1.)	· (DTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3736

Claims 8-29 are objected to in that all of the claims recite that the dialysis probe is implanted or that the sensor and outlet are outside of the body. The human body is non-statutory and cannot be positively recited. Applicant might overcome this objection by reciting an implantable device and that he sensor lies out side the body when the probe is implanted or using similar language.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 9, 11-18, 22-25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skrabal. In figure 16, for example, Skrabal shows a device including an access structure, needle 2, where the tip is implanted in a human body and the needle is connected to a measuring device located outside of the body that houses a removable and replaceable sensor 10, where the body fluid to be analyzed is supplied to the sensor 10 through the needle to an outlet of the needle connected to an inlet of the body, and insulin is supplied from an outlet of the body into an inlet of the needle and back into the human body. As such, the device is an infusion set. In addition, the record has no definition of what constitutes a dialysis probe. It is the examiner's position that since Skrabal meets all the claimed structure, it too is a dialysis probe. With respect to claim 23, the housing 76 is the probe head. With respect to claim 24, the tip of the needle is implanted. With respect to claim 27, the valve 25 seals the end of

Art Unit: 3736

the discharge tube. With respect to claim 28, there is a support plate 26, with the ends of the inlet and outlet extending there through.

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 19, 20, 21, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skrabal in view of Say. Say shows the same type of device as Skrabal, where there is a check valve 44 for preventing flow of fluid from the sensor back to the needle. Hence, it would have been obvious to modify Skrabal to include such a valve, so as to control the fluid flow and maintain accurate readings. With respect to claim 29, in order to properly work, the reflux valve must be above the plate 26.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/19/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has asserted that the needle 2 of Skrabal is not implantable. The needle is place din the body. As such, it is the examiner's position that the needle is implantable. Applicant has stated no particular length of time for which it need be implanted.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3736

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is (703) 308-3251. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, variable hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Robert L. Nasser **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3736

Page 5

RLN November 12, 2004

PRIMARY EXPANSES